### A CLINICAL STUDY ON RUPTURE UTERUS

by

B. K. Goswami,\* D.G.O., M.S. (Cal.)

and

Bejoylakshmi Goswami,\*\* D.G.O., M.D. (Cal.)

Rupture uterus is one of the most serious complications in obstetrics and a January, 1973 to December, 1976, among major cause of maternal mortality in our 19,140 deliveries, an incidence of 1 in 580.

TABLE I
Incidence and Maternal Mortality in Different Series

Authors		Incidence	Years	Maternal Mortality
Ferguson & Reid (Boston)	1958	1 : 1204	1935-55	5.9%
Patel & Parikh (Bombay)	1960	1 : 1257	1955-59	27.5%
Menon (Madras)	1964	1 : 415	1953-59	10%
Keifer	1964	1 : 3641	1943-62	
Mitra (Kanpur) Duttagupta & Duttagupta	1973	1 : 319	1961-70	22.6%
(Hooghly, W.B.) Indira Devi & Reddy	1974	1 : 504	1967-72	34.44%
(Visakhapatnam)	1975	1 : 270	1964-71	36.2%
Present Series		1 : 580	1973-76	21.21%

country. Efficient antenatal and intranatal cares have reduced its incidence very much in advanced countries but is still fairly high in our country as these are available only to a minority of the population.

This study comprises of 33 cases of uterine rupture treated in M. R. Bangur Hospital, Calcutta (District Hospital, 24Its incidence varies widely depending on socio-economic status of the population and standard of antenatal and intranatal care available in the area. Twenty-six cases (78.78%) came from peripheral subdivisional hospitals and health centres and only 7 from local areas. In 3 cases, rupture occurred in this hospital. Only 3 were booked and the rest unbooked.

Age: One was below 20, 7 between 21-25, 9 between 26-30, 12 between 31-35 and 4 between 36-40.

Parity: There were 2 primigravidae and 5 second gravidae in this series. The average parity was 3.88 as compared to 6.4 by Delfs and Eastman (1945) and 4.6

<sup>\*</sup>Resident Medical Officer.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Medical Officer, Dept. of Obst. & Gynaecology, M. R. Bangur Hospital, Calcutta.

Present Posting

<sup>\*</sup>Resident Surgeon, Eden Hospital, Medical College, Calcutta.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Clinical Tutor, Dept. of Obst, & Gynaecology, Calcutta National Medical College. Accepted for publication on 5-2-1979.

by Menon (1962). All agree that multiparity is an important causative factor.

Causes of Rupture: Table—II shows that the usual causes are spontaneous due to obstruction, traumatic due to manipulations or misuse of oxytocies and scar rupture. Here 8 cases had traumatic factors due to internal podalic version in 5 and misuse of oxytocies in 3.

rent, 2" x 11" was found on anterior wall of upper segment.

Site of Rupture: Incomplete rupture was noted in only 3 cases (9.10%) and the rest had complete rupture. It was in the upper segment in 2 cases. Indiradevi and Reddy (1975) found incomplete rupture in 7.5%. Broad ligament haematoma was seen on both sides in 4 cases and on

TABLE II Causes of Rupture in Different Studies

Authors	Year	Spontaneous	Traumatic	Scar rupture
Menon	1962	57.8%	18.8%	23.1%
Jacob &	1971	92.3%	9.2%	0.5%
Bhargava				
Indiradevi	1975	78.8%	8.7%	12.5%
& Reddy				
Present series		72.72% (2A)	24.24% (8)	3.03% (1)

factors: were responsible in 36.36%. Of these, shoulder presentation was the main factor. Syntocinon drip used in 2 cases of I.U.D. including one with face presentation caused this catastrophe. In another, syntocinon in 2nd twin with transverse lie followed by internal podalic version was responsible.

Three cases deserve special mention. In a case of hand prolapse the overenthusiastic doctor in a Primary Health Centre divided the arm, brought out the other and amputated it. Reducing size of the baby, thus, he tried internal podalic version, delivered it but, to his astonishment found the omentum peeping through introitus. In another case, dying soon after admission, one arm was found missing on postmortem section.

Another case aged 35 years, P5 + 0, umbilicus. On laparotomy a lacerated ed on individual surgeons choice. In 1

Malpresentations left side in 5. Mitra (1973) found it in 34 out of 86 cases of lower segment rup-

> Diagnosis: Four cases came from outside with diagnosis, in 2 cases it was detected during caesarean section and in 1 following internal podalic version. the rest 26 cases, 20 had typical clinical features and in 6, undue abdominal tenderness with mild shock aroused suspi-

> Management: Fifteen cases were in severe shock and 2 grossly infected. After resuscitation, laparotomy was done in 32 cases. The foetus was found extruded completely outside the uterus in 6 cases.

Subtotal hysterectomy was performed in 21 cases including repair of blad-Of 9 cases having in 2. der repair of rent, 4 were aged 25 years. One carrying 30 weeks, was hit by a cow. To of them was Para-1; another Para-2 and her utter surprise, she found the umbili- the rest of higher parity including a 15th cal cord hanging through a rent below her gravida. The type of operation dependbottles) and for 5 it was not available.

case peritoneal cavity was full of pus. In cases and that too in 1 after amputation another subseptate uterus was detected. of both arms. Oxytocics were used in Twenty-eight cases received blood (1-5 presence of face presentation and transverse lie (2nd twin). One primigravida

TABLE III Postoperative Complications

Complications	Number	Complications	Number	
Paralytic ileus	7	Urinary tract infection	3	
Peritonitis	2	Haematuria, Oliguria	1	
Burst abdomen	2	V.V.F.	1	
Wound sepsis	4	High temperature	4	
T.O. mass	1	Pulmonary oedema	1	

Maternal morbidity: different types of complications. Seven cases (21.21%) had paralytic ileus. All of them had history of prolonged labour (48-72 hours). Fortunately all of them survived.

Maternal mortality: Seven cases (21.21%) died, 1 before operation, 5 within 24 hours of operation due to profound shock and 1 after 5 days from sepsis and burst abdomen. The incidence of maternal mortality in our series as compared to that of other auhors has been shown in Table-1, mortality rate was higher following repair than in subtotal hysterectomy. Foetal loss was 100%.

# Discussion

exceptions, this hazar-With few dous complication is preventable and the proper time to treat rupture ute-But the quality of antenatal and intra- Pedowitz and Perrel drift into a stage of neglected or impact- countries. ed shoulder presentation. Internal podalic version was tried in unsuitable terectomy was done in 63.63%. Major-

Table-III shows lost her uterus due to internal podalic version.

> Malpresentation alone was responsible for 36.36% of ruptures. Menon (1962) found it in 18%, Jacob and Bhargava (1971) 41.6%, Shastrakar (1962)—30% and Mitra (1973) in 19.8%. Incidence of traumatic rupture in this series was 24.24%. Fenney and Barry (1956) observed it in 22%, O'Driscoll (1966) in 69.9% and Duttagupta and Duttagupta (1974) in 68.8%.

> Misuse of oxytocics was the causative factor in 9.10% in this series. Morrison and Douglas (1950) found it in 11.5% but Duttagupta and Duttagupta in 61.2%. Incidence of scar rupture was 3.03%. Jacob and Bhargava (1971) noted it to be 0.5%, Shastrakar (1962) 20.9% and Mitra (1973) 2.83%.

Internal podalic version caused 15.15% of this catastrophe. Duttagupta and rus is before it occurs (Davis, 1951). Duttagupta found it in 19.3%. Though (1958) among natal care in rural and urban areas out- others have denounced this procedure, side cities is evident from the fact that other (Borgoin and Ballon, 1964; Dutta-26 cases (78.78%) came from rural hos- gupta and Duttagupta, 1974) opined that Transverse lie was allowed to it cannot be avoided in under privileged

As regards management, subtotal hys-

ity came from far away places after long intranatal care should be widened. hours in labour, in shock. Total hyste- Public education through different media rectomy was avoided so that they could for making use of services of trained perwithstand the operation. Mitra (1973) advocates minimum surgery and Duttagupta and Duttagupta prefer subtotal hysterectomy unless one is forced to do total in odd cases. Mokgokong and Marivate (1976) observed that total hysterectomy is the treatment of choice particularly in longitudinal lateral tears. They advocate gauze packing of broad ligament if extensive separation have occurred and intraperitoneal drain to detect subsequent haemorrhage if any. We are not in favour of repair except in selected cases. Haemostasis can be better achieved by hysterectomy in presence of broad ligament haematoma and it is better to remove a potentially infected organ. In a condition where mortality is still high, one should not bother much to preserve menstrual function.

### Summary

- (1) Thirty-three cases of rupture uterus treated in a District Hospital have been analysed. Its incidence was 1 in 580.
- (2) 72.72% of the ruptures were spontaneous, 24.24% traumatic and 3.03% scar rupture. It was complete in 90.90%.
- (3) Malpresentation was responsible for 36.36% and grand multiparity alone for 39.39%. The literature has been reviewed.
- (4) Subtotal hysterectomy was done in 63.63% and repair in 27.27%. Overall maternal loss was 21.21%.

#### Conclusions

Our utmost effort should be on the preventive aspect. The spectrum of proper and efficient antenatal and

sonnel, early admission in hospital of cases with history of previous operation, malpresentation and limiting parity will go a long way. Internal podalic version should be tought to the younger generation properly and one must be aware of its scope and limitations.

## Acknowledgements

We are thankful to Dr. A. K. Dutta, Superintendent, M. R. Bangur Hospital, Calcutta, for his kind permission to pub-We are also lish the hospital records. grateful to Prof. (Mrs.) M. Konar, F.R.C.O.G. (Lond.), Head of the Deptt. of Obst. & Gynaecology, Medical College, Calcutta for her valuable advices while preparing this paper.

#### References

- 1. Borgoin, P., Ballon, C., Breton, P. and Benoit, D.: Bull. Soc. Med. afrique novie. 9: 145, 1964.
- 2. Davis, W. W.: J. Internal Coll. Surgeons. 16: 706, 1951.
- Delfs, E. and Eastman, N. J.: Canad. Med. Ass. J. 52: 376, 1945.
- Duttagupta, A. and Duttagupta, H.: J. Obstet. Gynec. India. 24: 252, 1974.
- Fecney, K. and Barry, A.: Brit. Med. J. 67: 1, 1956.
- Ferguson, R. F. and Reid, D. E.: Am. J. Obstet. Gynec. 76: 172, 1958.
- 7. Indira Devi, A. and Reddy, R. S.: J. Obstet. Gynec. India. 25: 508, 1975.
- Jacob, I. S. and Bhargava, H.: J. Obstet. Gynec. India. 21: 22, 1971.
- 9. Keifer, W. S.: Am. J. Obstet. Gynec. 89: 335, 1964.
- 10. Menon, M. K. K.: J. Obstet. Gynec. Brit. C'Welth. 69: 18, 1962.
- 11. Mitra, R.: J. Obstet. Gynec. India. 23: 474, 1973.

- 12. Mokgokong, E. T. and Marivate, M.: South, Afr. Med. J. 50: 1621, 1976.
- Morrison, J. H. and Douglas, L. M.: Am. J. Obstet. Gynec. 50: 330, 1950.
- 14. O'Driscoll, K. C.: Proc. Roy. Soc. Med.
  - 59: 65, 1966.

- 15. Patel, D. and Parikh, M. N.: J. Obstet. Gynec. India. 11: 74, 1960.
- Pedowitz, P. and Perrel, A.: Am. J. Obstet. Gynec. 74: 161, 1958.
- 17. Shastrakar, V. D.: J. Obstet Gynec. India. 12: 391, 1962.